

Through e-mail

No. MCS/DO/General/FSSA-2025 -1317
Health Safety and Regulation
Municipal Corporation Shimla

To

The Director
Health Safety and Regulation
Himachal Pradesh

Dated 03/03/2026 Shimla the

Subject: - Regarding of final analysis of Food Samples found non-conforming to the standards of FSS Act, 2006 to be placed on official website.

Sir,

This is with reference to letter no. HSR-H(FSSA988/2020-CTL-2013Vol-III-7727-28 dated 30-01-2026 on the subject cited above.


In this regard, the details of Food Samples found non-conforming to the standards of FSS Act, 2006 is as under:-

Sr. No.	Sample Name	Date of lifting	Place of collection
01	Galgal Pickle	27-08-2025	Chotta Shimla
02	Honey	27-08-2025	Chotta Shimla
03	Litchi Squash	28-08-2025	Subji Mandi Shimla
04	Cow Milk (Packed)	29-08-2025	Boileuganj Shimla
05	Kishmish	10-09-2025	Dotu Shimla
06	Special Bikaneri Feni Packed	09-10-2025	Dotu Shimla
07	Milk Cake (Loose)	09-10-2025	Dotu

Submitted for your kind information and necessary action please.

Encls: as above (07 pages)

Yours faithfully


Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
Assistant Commissioner (FS)
-Cum- Designated Officer
MC Shimla.

Through e-mail

No. MCS/DO/General/FSSA-2025 -13/7
Health Safety and Regulation
Municipal Corporation Shimla

To

The Director
Health Safety and Regulation
Himachal Pradesh

Dated 03/03/2026 Shimla the

Subject: - Regarding of final analysis of Food Samples found non-conforming to the standards of FSS Act, 2006 to be placed on official website.

Sir,

This is with reference to letter no. HSR-H(FSSA988/2020-CTL-2013Vol-III-7727-28 dated 30-01-2026 on the subject cited above.

In this regard, the details of Food Samples found non-conforming to the standards of FSS Act, 2006 is as under:-

Sr. No.	Sample Name	Date of lifting	Place of collection
01	Galgal Pickle	27-08-2025	Chotta Shimla
02	Honey	27-08-2025	Chotta Shimla
03	Litchi Squash	28-08-2025	Subji Mandi Shimla
04	Cow Milk (Packed)	29-08-2025	Boileuganj Shimla
05	Kishmish	10-09-2025	Totu Shimla
06	Special Bikaneri Feni Packed	09-10-2025	Totu Shimla
07	Milk Cake (Loose)	09-10-2025	Totu

Submitted for your kind information and necessary action please.

Encls: as above (07 pages)

Yours faithfully

U Chauhan
Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
-Cum- Designated Officer
Assistant Commissioner (FS)
-Cum- Designated Officer
MCShimla.

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0495

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Galgal Pickle" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 768 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P) on 28.08.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Pickle" falling under regulation No. 2.3.43 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (started on 29.08.2025 and completed on 12.09.2025) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original pack.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Result	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
2.	Added Colouring matter	FSSAI Manual method	Absent	Free from synthetic food colours
3.	Test for Mineral Acid	-do-	Negative	Negative
4.	Trichlorfon	-do-	ND	10ppb
5.	Chlorpropham	-do-	ND	10ppb
6.	Phorate	-do-	ND	10ppb
7.	Ethion	-do-	ND	10ppb
8.	Pyriproxyfen	-do-	ND	10ppb
9.	Fenpropathrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
10.	Fipronil	-do-	ND	10ppb
11.	Pendimethalin	-do-	ND	10ppb
12.	Permethrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
13.	Chlorfenapyr	-do-	ND	10ppb
14.	Quinalphos	-do-	ND	10ppb
15.	Triadimethalin	-do-	ND	10ppb

Opinion: - The labelling of the sample of "Galgal Pickle" contravenes Regulation no. 5.8, 5.9, & 5.10 a labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as the unit sale price as per Legal Metrology Act, 2009, Lot/Code/Batch identification and Use by/ Expiry date are not mentioned on the label. As per the Regulation No. 5:10 (a), "Expiry/Use by" shall be declared on the label. However, expression "Best before" may also be used as optional or additional information. Hence, this sample of "Galgal Pickle" is **Misbranded**.

Signed this 12th day of September, 2025

[Signature]
Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L, Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer
M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02



Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0496

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Honey" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 769 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P) on 28.08.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Honey" falling under regulation No. 2.8.3:1 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 29.08.2025 and completed on 12.09.2025) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original pack.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, insects debris, Fragments, of bees, pieces of bees bags, grains of seeds, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
2.	Specific Gravity at 27°C	FSSAI Manual method	1.42%	Not Less than 1.35
3.	Total Reducing Sugar	-do-	73.15%	Not Less than 65.0% by mass
4.	Total Ash	-do-	0.36%	Not more than 0.5% by mass
5.	Acidity	-do-	0.027%	Not more than 0.2% by weight
6.	Sucrose	-do-	4.16%	Not more than 5% by mass
7.	Water insoluble matter	-do-	0.0138%	Not more than 0.1%
8.	Acid Insoluble Ash	-do-	0.011%	----
9.	Moisture	-do-	22.6%	Not more than 20%
10.	Test for presence of ACM	-do-	Negative	Negative
11.	Trichlorfon	-do-	ND	10ppb
12.	Chlorpropham	-do-	ND	10ppb
13.	Phorate	-do-	ND	10ppb
14.	Ethion	-do-	ND	10ppb
15.	Pyriproxyfen	-do-	ND	10ppb
16.	Fenpropathrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
17.	Fipronil	-do-	ND	10ppb
18.	Pendimethalin	-do-	ND	10ppb
19.	Permethrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
20.	Chlorfenapyr	-do-	ND	10ppb
21.	Quinalphos	-do-	ND	10ppb
22.	Triadimethalin	-do-	ND	10ppb

Opinion: - The labelling of the sample of "Honey" contravenes Regulation no. 5.8, 5.9, & 5.10 a labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as the unit sale price as per Legal Metrology Act, 2009, Lot/Code/Batch identification and Use by/ Expiry date are not mentioned on the label. As per the Regulation No. 5:10 (a), "Expiry/Use by" shall be declared on the label. However, expression "Best before" may also be used as optional or additional information. Hence, this sample of "Honey" is **Misbranded**.

Signed this 12th day of September, 2025

Address: - The Designated Officer
M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02



Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat
Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat.

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0498

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Litchi Squash" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 771 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) on 28.08.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Squash" falling under regulation No. 2.3.21 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 29.08.2025 and completed on 12.09.2025) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original pack

(ii) Physical Appearance:-


Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta and mould growth.

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Result	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
2.	Test for Sugar	FSSAI Manual method	43.60%	42% as per label claim
3.	Total soluble solids %	-do-	43.63%	Not less than 40.0%
4.	Acidity expressed as Citric Acid	-do-	0.81%	Not more than 3.5%
5.	Added Colouring matter	-do-	Absent	With or without permitted natural or synthetic food colours
6.	Trichlorfon	-do-	ND	10ppb
7.	Chlorpropham	-do-	ND	10ppb
8.	Phorate	-do-	ND	10ppb
9.	Ethion	-do-	ND	10ppb
10.	Pyriproxyfen	-do-	ND	10ppb
11.	Fenpropathrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
12.	Fipronil	-do-	ND	10ppb
13.	Pendimethalin	-do-	ND	10ppb
14.	Permethrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
15.	Chlorfenapyr	-do-	ND	10ppb
16.	Quinalphos	-do-	ND	10ppb
17.	Triadimethalin	-do-	ND	10ppb

Opinion: - The labelling of the sample of "Litchi Squash" contravenes Regulation no. 5.8, & 5.10 a labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as the unit sale price as per Legal Metrology Act, 2009, Use by/ Expiry date are not mentioned on the label. As per the Regulation No. 5:10 (a), "Expiry/Use by" shall be declared on the label. However, expression "Best before" may also be used as optional or additional information. Hence, this sample of "Litchi Squash" is Misbranded.

Signed this 12th day of September, 2025

Address: - The Designated Officer
M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02



(Signature)
Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L, Kandaghat,
H.P.

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory. can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0513

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Cow Milk (Packed)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 775 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) on 28.08.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Cow Milk" falling under regulation No. 2.1.2 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 30.08.2025 and completed on 09.09.2025) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed plastic container along with original pack

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta and mould growth.

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of method of test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
2.	Milk Fat	FSSAI Manual Method	3.5%	Not less than 3.2%
3.	Milk solids-not-fat	-do-	9.16%	Not less than 8.3%
4.	Test for starch	-do-	Negative	Negative
5.	Test for cane sugar	-do-	Negative	Negative
6.	Test for extraneous urea	-do-	Negative	Negative
7.	Test for Neutralizer	-do-	Negative	Negative
8.	Test for Sucrose	-do-	Negative	Negative
9.	Butyro-Refractometer reading at 40°C	-do-	42.0	40-44
10.	Added Colouring Matter	-do-	Absent	Absent
11.	Total solids%	-do-	12.66%	-----

Opinion:- The labelling of the sample of "Cow Milk (Packed)" contravenes Regulation no. 5.8 labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as declaration regarding, unit sale price as per Legal Metrology Act, 2009, is not mentioned on the label. Hence, this sample of "Cow Milk (Packed)" is **misbranded**

Signed this 10th day of September, 2025

Address: - The Designated Officer,
M.C., Dist. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02



[Signature]
Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
G.T.L. Kandaghat.

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0552

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Kishmish" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 785 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P) on 11.09.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Raisins" falling under regulation No. 2.3.47:2 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 11.09.2025 and completed on 18.09.2025), and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original Pack.


(ii) Physical Appearance:-

free from stones, dirt, clay, insects, rodent excreta and other objectionable extraneous matter.


Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1. Test for the presence of dirt, dust, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
2. Moisture	FSSAI Manual Method	13.72%	Not more than 15.0 %
3. Damaged Raisins	-do-	19.18%	Not more than 2.0 %
4. Sugared Raisins	-do-	18.10	Not more than 15.0 %
5. Added colouring matter	-do-	Negative	Absent

Opinion: - The labelling of the sample of "Kishmish" contravenes Regulation no. 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, & 5.10 labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as complete address of manufacturer or marketer or packer, FSSAI logo and License number, Net Quantity, retail sale price, Consumer Care details, Lot/Code/Batch identification, manufacturing Use by/ Expiry date are not mentioned on the label. The sample does not conform to standards laid down under Regulation No.2.3.47:2 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 with respect to Damaged and Sugared Raisins. Hence, this sample of "Raisins" is **Misbranded & substandard**.

Signed this 19th day of September, 2025


Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
Kandaghat.
C.T.I. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer,
M.C., Dist. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02



Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0821

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Special Bikaneri Feni (Packed)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 797 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P) on 10.10.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Proprietary Food" falling under regulation No. 2.12 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 10.10.2025 and completed on 18.10.2025) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original pack.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-


Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

Sl. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
	Test for the presence of dirt, dust, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
	Test for presence of ACM	FSSAI Manual method	Absent	With or without permitted natural colours and permitted synthetic food colours
	Test for Sugar	-do-	Positive	Positive
	Test for Starch	-do-	Positive	Positive
	Moisture	-do-	4.73%	---
	Total ash	-do-	0.45%	---
	Acid insoluble Ash	-do-	0.04%	----
	Total fat	-do-	28.62%	----
	B.R. of extracted fat @40°C	-do-	45.0	-----
1.	Kries test	-do-	Negative	Negative
1.	Trichlorfon	-do-	ND	10ppb
2.	Chlorpropham	-do-	ND	10ppb
3.	Chlorpyrifos	-do-	ND	10ppb
4.	Fipronil	-do-	ND	10ppb
15	Bifenthrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
16	Fenarimol	-do-	ND	10ppb
17	Fenpropathrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
18.	Pyriproxyfen	-do-	ND	10ppb
18.	Phorate	-do-	ND	10ppb

Opinion:- The labeling of the sample of "Special Bikaneri Feni (Packed)" contravenes Regulation no. 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.10 a and 5.14 labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as the list of Ingredients, Nutritional information Declaration regarding food additives, Declaration of name and Complete address of manufacturer or marketer or packer, FSSAI License number, unit sale price as per Legal Metrology Act, 2009 Consumer Care details, and expiry or Use by date are not mentioned on the label. As per the Regulation No. 5.10 (a), "Expiry/Use by" shall be declared on the label. However, expression "Best before" may also be used as optional or additional information Declaration regarding food allergens are not mentioned on the label. Hence, this sample of "Special Bikaneri Feni (Packed)" is misbranded.

Signed this 18th day of October, 2025

Address - The Designated Officer
M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health, Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02



Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Disclaimer: This report refers only to the particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that part of a report is not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A
(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No.0823

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Dr. Sunil Sharma, Food Safety Officer, M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.) a sample of "Milk Cake (Loose)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. MCS- 799 of the Designated Officer of M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P) on 10.10.2025 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Proprietary Food" falling under regulation No. 2.12 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 10.10.2025 and completed on 18.10.2025) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed plastic container.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
	Test for the presence of dirt, dust, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	Macroscopical and microscopical method	Negative	Negative
	Test for presence of ACM	FSSAI Manual method	Absent	With or without permitted natural colours and permitted synthetic food colours
	Test for Sugar	-do-	Positive	Positive
	Test for Starch	-do-	Positive	May be present
	Moisture	-do-	9.45%	---
	Total ash	-do-	1.96%	---
	Acid insoluble Ash	-do-	0.06%	----
	Total fat	-do-	6.44%	----
	B.R. of extracted fat @40°C	-do-	51.0	40-44.0
1.	Kries test	-do-	Negative	Negative
1.	Trichlorfon	-do-	ND	10ppb
2.	Chlorpropham	-do-	ND	10ppb
3.	Chlorpyrifos	-do-	ND	10ppb
4.	Fipronil	-do-	ND	10ppb
5.	Bifenthrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
6.	Fenarimol	-do-	ND	10ppb
7.	Fenpropathrin	-do-	ND	10ppb
18.	Pyriproxyfen	-do-	ND	10ppb
19.	Phorate	-do-	ND	10ppb

Opinion:-The sample of "Milk Cake (Loose)" does not conform to the provision laid down under regulation No. 2.12 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 with respect to B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C. Hence, this sample of "Milk Cake (Loose)" is substandard.

Signed this 18th day of October, 2025

Address:- The Designated Officer
M.C., Distt. Shimla (H.P.)
O/o the Director, Health Safety & Regulation,
H.P. Shimla-02

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

Food Analyst
Food Analyst radesh
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat